# THE National Citizen Survey™

### Paducah, KY Dashboard Summary of Findings

2016



2955 Valmont Road Suite 300 Boulder, Colorado 80301 n-r-c.com • 303-444-7863



777 North Capitol Street NE Suite 500 Washington, DC 20002 icma.org • 800-745-8780

## Summary

The National Citizen Survey<sup>™</sup> (The NCS<sup>™</sup>) is a collaborative effort between National Research Center, Inc. (NRC) and the International City/County Management Association (ICMA). The survey and its administration are standardized to assure high quality research methods and directly comparable results across The NCS communities. The NCS captures residents' opinions within the three pillars of a community (Community Characteristics, Governance and Participation) across eight central facets of community (Safety, Mobility, Natural Environment, Built Environment, Economy, Recreation and Wellness, Education and Enrichment and Community Engagement). This report summarizes Paducah's performance in the eight facets of community livability with the "General" rating as a summary of results from the overarching questions not shown within any of the eight facets. The "Overall" represents the community pillar in its entirety (the eight facets and general).

By summarizing resident ratings across the eight facets and three pillars of a livable community, a picture of Paducah's community livability emerges. Below, the color of each community facet summarizes how residents rated each of the pillars that support it – Community Characteristics, Governance and Participation. When most ratings were higher than the benchmark, the color is the darkest shade; when most ratings were lower than the benchmark, the color is the lightest shade. A mix of ratings (higher and lower than the benchmark) results in a color between the extremes.

Overall, ratings about the community's characteristics across most of the facets were strong and similar to the benchmark. Within Governance, the facets of Built Environment and Economy had ratings that were lower than the benchmark. Additionally, within Participation the facet of Natural Environment was less favorably rated in Paducah than in comparison communities. This information can be helpful in identifying the areas that merit more attention.

	Commu	unity Characte	eristics		Governance		Participation			
	Higher	Similar	Lower	Higher	Similar	Lower	Higher	Similar	Lower	
Overall	0	50	2	0	31	15	1	31	4	
General	0	7	0	0	3	0	0	3	0	
Safety	0	3	0	0	7	0	0	3	0	
Mobility	0	8	0	0	6	2	0	2	1	
Natural Environment	0	3	0	0	3	3	0	1	2	
Built Environment	0	5	0	0	1	6	0	1	1	
Economy	0	7	1	0	0	1	0	3	0	
Recreation and Wellness	0	7	0	0	4	0	0	5	0	
Education and Enrichment	0	6	0	0	2	0	1	2	0	
Community Engagement	0	4	1	0	5	3	0	11	0	

#### Figure 1: Dashboard Summary

Legend	
	Higher
	Similar
	Lower

#### Figure 2: Detailed Dashboard

	Community Characteristics	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive	Governance	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive	Participation	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive
	Overall appearance	1	$\leftrightarrow$	67%	Customer service	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	65%	Recommend Paducah	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	78%
	Overall quality of life	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	68%	Services provided by Paducah	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	64%	Remain in Paducah	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	80%
General	Place to retire	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	65%	Services provided by the Federal Government	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	37%	Contacted Paducah employees	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	39%
g	Place to raise children	↑	$\leftrightarrow$	73%								
	Place to live	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	78%								
	Neighborhood	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	67%								
	Overall image	1	$\leftrightarrow$	69%								
	Overall feeling of safety	*	$\leftrightarrow$	75%	Police	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	77%	Was NOT the victim of a crime	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	84%
	Safe in neighborhood	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	90%	Crime prevention	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	69%	Did NOT report a crime	*	$\leftrightarrow$	74%
Safety	Safe downtown/commercial area	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	92%	Fire	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	90%	Stocked supplies for an emergency	*	$\leftrightarrow$	39%
Saf					Fire prevention	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	76%				
					Ambulance/EMS	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	88%				
					Emergency preparedness	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	57%				
					Animal control	1	$\leftrightarrow$	54%				
	Traffic flow	1	$\leftrightarrow$	62%	Traffic enforcement	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	68%	Carpooled instead of driving alone	*	$\leftrightarrow$	42%
	Travel by car	1	$\leftrightarrow$	76%	Street repair	$\leftrightarrow$	Ļ	31%	Walked or biked instead of driving	*	Ļ	46%
Mobility	Travel by bicycle	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	40%	Street cleaning	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	57%	Used public transportation instead of driving	*	$\leftrightarrow$	21%
£	Ease of walking	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	52%	Street lighting	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	60%				
	Travel by public transportation	*	$\leftrightarrow$	42%	Snow removal	Ļ	$\leftrightarrow$	54%				
	Overall ease travel	*	$\leftrightarrow$	77%	Sidewalk maintenance	Ļ	Ļ	39%				
	Public parking	*	$\leftrightarrow$	59%	Traffic signal timing	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	48%				
	Paths and walking trails	1	$\leftrightarrow$	54%	Bus or transit services	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	50%				
	Overall natural environment	↑	$\leftrightarrow$	68%	Garbage collection		Ļ	$\leftrightarrow$	Recycled at home	$\leftrightarrow$	ĻĻ	53%
¥	Air quality	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	64%	Recycling	$\leftrightarrow$	ĻĻ	31%	Conserved water	*	Ļ	70%
Environment	Cleanliness	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	61%	Yard waste pick-up	Ļ	Ļ	54%	Made home more energy efficient	* ↔   * ↔   * ↔   * ↔   * ↓   * ↓   * ↓   * ↓   * ↓   * ↓   * ↓   * ↓   * ↓   ↓ ↓   ↓ ↓   ↓ ↓   ↓ ↓	$\leftrightarrow$	75%
Vir v					Drinking water	$\leftrightarrow$	Ļ	55%				
윤				1	Open space	*	$\leftrightarrow$	46%				
				1	Natural areas preservation	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	54%				
	New development in Paducah	1	$\leftrightarrow$	46%	Sewer services	$\leftrightarrow$	Ļ	63%	NOT experiencing housing cost stress	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	68%
ment	Affordable quality housing	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	42%	Storm drainage	$\leftrightarrow$	Ļ	41%	Did NOT observe a code violation	*	Ļ	40%
ror	Housing options	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	48%	Power utility	Ļ	Ļ	52%				
ž	Overall built environment	*	$\leftrightarrow$	54%	Utility billing	*	↓ ↓	45%				
Built Environment	Public places	*	$\leftrightarrow$	60%	Land use, planning and zoning	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	35%				
-					Code enforcement	$\leftrightarrow$	↓ ↓	34%				
					Cable television	$\leftrightarrow$	↓ ↓	37%				

#### Legend

↑↑ Much higher

↑ Higher

↔ Similar

↓ Lower

\* Not available

2

 $\downarrow\downarrow$  Much lower

#### The National Citizen Survey™

	Community Characteristics	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive	Governance	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive	Participation	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive
	Overall economic health	*	Ļ	38%	Economic development	<b>↑</b>	Ļ	34%	Economy will have positive impact on income	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	23%
	Shopping opportunities	↑ (	$\leftrightarrow$	57%					Purchased goods or services in Paducah	*	$\leftrightarrow$	94%
λ	Employment opportunities	1	$\leftrightarrow$	30%					Work in Paducah	*	$\leftrightarrow$	52%
ЪС	Place to visit	*	$\leftrightarrow$	63%								
Economy	Cost of living	*	$\leftrightarrow$	46%								
	Vibrant downtown/commercial area	*	$\leftrightarrow$	59%								
	Place to work	1	$\leftrightarrow$	50%								
	Business and services	1	$\leftrightarrow$	60%								
S	Fitness opportunities	*	$\leftrightarrow$	67%	City parks	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	75%	In very good to excellent health	*	$\leftrightarrow$	47%
neg	Recreational opportunities	↑	$\leftrightarrow$	55%	Recreation centers	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	58%	Used Paducah recreation centers	<u>↑</u>	$\leftrightarrow$	61%
/ell	Health care	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	58%	Recreation programs	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	64%	Visited a City park	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	86%
Recreation and Wellness	Food	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	63%	Health services	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	64%	Ate 5 portions of fruits and vegetables	*	$\leftrightarrow$	78%
ation	Mental health care	*	$\leftrightarrow$	43%					Participated in moderate or vigorous physical activity	*	$\leftrightarrow$	80%
cre	Health and wellness	*	$\leftrightarrow$	63%								
Re	Preventive health services	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	60%								
	K-12 education	↑	$\leftrightarrow$	77%	Public libraries	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	82%	Used Paducah public libraries	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	70%
부	Cultural/arts/music activities	1	$\leftrightarrow$	69%	Special events	*	$\leftrightarrow$	55%	Participated in religious or spiritual activities	$\leftrightarrow$	1	67%
le l	Child care/preschool	↑	$\leftrightarrow$	54%					Attended a City-sponsored event	*	$\leftrightarrow$	51%
Education and Enrichment	Religious or spiritual events and activities	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	81%						come   *     services in   *     ucah   *     park   *     fruits and   *     soderate or   *     lic libraries   *     issored event   *     sored event   *     unnity   +     unnity   +     ucah   *     unnity   -     ucah   1     source   1     uca dub   1 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td></t<>		
ы ц	Adult education	*	$\leftrightarrow$	62%								
_	Overall education and enrichment	*	$\leftrightarrow$	62%								
	Opportunities to participate in community matters	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	53%	Public information	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	60%	Sense of community	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	57%
	Opportunities to volunteer	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	67%	Overall direction	$\leftrightarrow$	Ļ	42%	Voted in local elections	↑	$\leftrightarrow$	80%
	Openness and acceptance	$\leftrightarrow$	Ļ	42%	Value of services for taxes paid	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	37%	Talked to or visited with neighbors	*	$\leftrightarrow$	89%
emen	Social events and activities	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	53%	Welcoming citizen involvement	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	42%	Attended a local public meeting	Ļ	$\leftrightarrow$	16%
Community Engagement	Neighborliness	*	$\leftrightarrow$	53%	Confidence in City government	*	Ļ	33%	Watched a local public meeting	Ļ	$\leftrightarrow$	37%
unity E					Acting in the best interest of Paducah	*	$\leftrightarrow$	40%	Volunteered	Ļ	$\leftrightarrow$	45%
Ĩ					Being honest	*	$\downarrow$	39%	Participated in a club	$\downarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	29%
Соп					Treating all residents fairly	*	$\leftrightarrow$	39%	Campaigned for an issue, cause or candidate	*	$\leftrightarrow$	24%
									Contacted Paducah elected officials	*	$\leftrightarrow$	20%
									Read or watched local news	*	$\leftrightarrow$	88%
									Done a favor for a neighbor	*	$\leftrightarrow$	85%

#### Legend

↑↑ Much higher

↑ Higher

↔ Similar

↓ Lower

\* Not available

3

 $\downarrow\downarrow$  Much lower